# CBIC Control Number 363376

### **Sanitized Copy**

RECEIVED OPPT CBIC

2015 JAN 14 AM 9: 27

January 13, 2015

#### **Via Federal Express**

TSCA Confidential Business Information Center (7407M)
EPA East - Room 6428 Attn: Section 8(e)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1201 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004-3302

**Subject:** Notice in Accordance with TSCA Section 8(e): Results of a toxicity study on aquatic water plants (*Lemna gibba*) with an experimental pesticide

Dear Section 8(e) Coordinator:

is submitting results of a toxicity test with on aquatic water plants (*Lemna gibba*), conducted by (NON-GLP Indicator Study). The test substance is an experimental pesticide.

The test was conducted in a static system over 7 days according to the following test guidelines: "OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals, No. 221: *Lemna* sp., Growth Inhibition Test

The following nominal concentrations were tested: **control, 0.1, 0.4, 1.6, 6.4 and 25.6 \mug/L.** All test solutions were visibly clear over the entire exposure period. The test substance is an active ingredient. Analyses were not carried out.

The following effect concentrations were obtained based on nominal concentrations:

Growth rates based on frond number			Growth rates based on biomass		
NOEC	= 0.	40 μg/L	NOEC	=	0.40 μg/L
E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>10</sub> (7 d)	= 1.	45 μg/L	E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>10</sub> (7 d)	=	>0.40 µg/L *
E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub> (7 d)	= 3.	30 μg/L	$E_rC_{50}$ (7 d)	=	3.88 µg/L
Yield based on frond number			Yield based on biomass		
NOEC	= 0.	40 μg/L	NOEC	=	0.40 μg/L
$E_{y}C_{10}$ (7 d)	= 1.	09 μg/L	E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>10</sub> (7 d)	=	>0.40 µg/L *
E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub> (7 d)	= 2.	42 μg/L	$E_yC_{50}$ (7 d)	=	1.22 μg/L

# Explanation:

The **NOEC** is the highest concentration tested with no statistical significant deviation compared to the control

The EC<sub>50</sub> is the concentration calculated at which the growth rate "r" resp. the yield "y" is inhibited by 50%.

\* = The endpoint was determined by expert judgement based on raw data evaluation because the statistical evaluation was not rational.

## **Sanitized Copy**

TSCA Confidential Business Information Center (7407M) January 13, 2015 Page 2

understands that reporting of results from this study under TSCA 8(e) is in accordance with EPA's policy.

Please note that a confidential version of this letter is enclosed, treating the chemical identity and company identity as Confidential Business Information.

A Confidentiality Substantiation Questionnaire is being submitted.

Sincerely,